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Ever since C. Eric Lincoln published *The Black Muslims in America*, in 1961, many observers of the Nation of Islam (NOI) have seemed convinced by his claim that the movement was neither very “religious” nor “Islamic” in nature.¹ In that classic study, currently in its third edition, Lincoln conceded that “the Black Muslim movement constitutes a legitimate religion within the definition of the sociology of religion” but also maintained that “religious values have a secondary importance.”² For Lincoln, the success of the movement stemmed not from the particular nature of its religious activities but from its ability to provide a sense of “group solidarity” to the dispossessed black working class. According to Lincoln, this sense of community was produced through the group’s embrace of black nationalism, which he understood to be “first a defensive response to external forces—hostile forces that threaten their creative existence.”³ The actual content of this black nationalist ideology, however, was less important than the role it played in responding to the ill feelings of working class blacks toward their oppressors. “It matters little,” wrote Lincoln, “whether the homeland of the dispersal Black Nation is said to be Asia or Africa. For the black nationalist, the black Zion is wherever whites are absent.”⁴ Moreover, he said, the Islamic tenor of the movement was entirely epiphenomenal. “So long as the movement keeps its color identity with the rising black peoples of Africa, it could discard all its Islamic attributes—its name, its prayers to Allah, its citations from the Qur’an, everything ‘Muslim,’ without substantial risk to the appeal to the black masses.”⁵ Like black nationalism, argued Lincoln, Islam functioned to veil black resentment in religious garb. NOI members, he said, “are grateful for a mystique, especially a dignified religious mystique that rationalizes their resentments and their hatreds, rendering them spiritual virtues in a cosmic war of good against evil.”⁶

In this article, I challenge Lincoln’s view of the movement by arguing that the NOI was both highly religious and political at the
same time. Adopting some helpful methodological tools proposed by Catherine Bell in *Ritual Theory, Ritual Practice*, I use ritual as a vantage point from which to analyze the structure and function of religious and political activities within the movement. In Bell’s well-known book, she argues that the process of ritualization “involves the differentiation and privileging of particular activities,” including the deliberate manipulation of time and space; “restricted codes of communication; distinct and specialized personnel”; special objects, texts, and dress; particular physical or mental states; and the “involvement of a particular constituency.” In addition, Bell understands ritualization “first and foremost [as] a strategy for the construction of certain types of power relationships effective within particular social organizations.” In studying ritualization as a strategy, she suggests that four “artificial, but useful” questions be raised: “(1) how ritualization empowers those who more or less control the rite; (2) how their power is also limited and constrained; (3) how ritualization dominates those involved as participants; and (4) how this domination involves a negotiated participation and resistance that also empowers them.” Finally, she points to the human body as a key social site of ritualization. Following Michel Foucault, she asserts that the “body is ‘the place where the most minute and local social practices are linked up with the large scale organization of power.’” Moreover, she says, “the body is a political field: ‘Power relations have an immediate hold upon it; they invest it, mark it, train it, torture it, force it to carry out tasks, to perform ceremonies, to emit signs.’ . . . Ritualization, Foucault appears to imply, is a central way that power operates; it constitutes a political technology of the body.”

My study of ritual within the Nation of Islam from the 1950s to the 1970s confirms the usefulness of Bell’s insights but also suggests the need, in this case, to view the construction of power through ritualization not only from the vantage point of “particular social organizations” but also within multiple and often overlapping social contexts. The semantic difference between these terms is small, but important, since Bell’s discussion of power and ritualization sometimes seems to define the construction of ritualized power in terms of the relationship between human individuals and a single organization or entity, like a tribe, a society, or the church. In explaining ritual within an African American religious context, however, it is necessary to discuss the plural social contexts in which the ritual participants operate and to which they respond. Only then can one account for the “multiple consciousness” of those dominated, empowered, and constrained through ritualization. Perhaps this is also true more generally for modern multicultural societies in which power is formulated
along ethnic or racial lines. Whatever the case, the ritual activities of NOI leaders and members occurred within multiple social contexts, including urban black working-class culture, black politics, North American Islam, and, most obviously, the culture of a separatist movement headed by a prophetic authority. Ritualization became an arena in which NOI leaders and members subverted, resisted, accepted, and accommodated various elements of these cultures.

Specifically, I argue, members of the NOI adopted many turn-of-the-century black middle-class “uplift” themes like thrift, sexual propriety, industriousness, and temperance by recasting them in an Islamic mold; this use of Islam, in turn, allowed members to reject what they viewed as the ideological burdens of African American Christianity, which had functioned as the religious source or container of these norms. These new African American Islamic rituals focused on the reformation of the black body, which was depicted as a main battleground for the souls of black folk. The black body was constructed as a gendered vessel, a symbol for the fate of the black race, where black folk could be saved from white Christian violation, poison, and, in the case of men, emasculation. But this process, as Bell’s theoretical formulation quoted above suggests, also constrained the power of those who controlled the rite. Having utilized Islam to name and define his ritual activity, Elijah Muhammad laid claims to a religious identity shared by millions of other people. When immigrant, foreign, and other African American Muslims began to question his religious legitimacy, he responded by entrenching himself in his own prophetic authority, which resulted in his isolation from much of the American and global Muslim community.

Linking the Black Body to the Fate of the Race

From slave times unto the present day, the care and protection of the black body has been a central concern in the formation of African American culture. For most of American history, persons of African descent have been denied the most basic right to protect themselves and their families from bodily harm and humiliation. Even today, events such as the 1998 lynching of James Byrd in Texas or the sexual assault of Haitian immigrant Abner Louima by New York City police, in 1997, continue to make bodily safety a key concern of African American life. As a result, the black body has been and continues to be an important symbol of the struggle for black liberation more generally. As Patricia Turner shows in I Heard It through the Grapevine, much of contemporary urban African American rumor and folklore is dominated by “metaphors linking the fate of the black race
to the fates of black bodies.” Anxieties over black male sterility, for example, appear constantly in urban narratives, embedded in fears that “Church’s [fast food chicken franchise] is owned by the Ku Klux Klan [KKK], and that they put something in it to make black men sterile” or that “Tropical Fantasy [a fruit-flavored soft drink] is made by the KKK. There is a special ingredient in it that makes black men sterile.”¹⁵ Turner also notes conspiracy theories that address women’s bodies. For instance, she hears that Norplant, an implanted birth control device, is part of a plot to promote black genocide.¹⁶

Similar anxieties about the control and abuse of black bodies are also readily detectable in several aspects of NOI discourse, including the words of Elijah Muhammad, cartoons appearing in the popular movement newspaper Muhammad Speaks, and NOI symbols and banners. For example, E. U. Essien-Udom reported in his early 1960s ethnographic account of the movement that a prominent banner in the Chicago temple featured an “American flag . . . in the upper left corner of the white backboard and directly below it, painted against a white background, a tree with a black man hanging from a branch . . . Opposite the tree is the cross, another symbol of oppression, shame, suffering, and death. Below the cross appears the word ‘Christianity.’ ”¹⁷ The “black man hanging from a branch” was linked in the mind of at least one of Essien-Udom’s informants to Christianity and its role in the oppression of African Americans in the United States. “That flag and the cross,” Minister James told Essien-Udom, “have been symbols of the misfortune and slavery for black people. The sign of the cross represents murder and wickedness since its inception, Christ the Prophet was lynched on that cross and ever since the so-called Negroes started bearing it they have been catching hell on it.”¹⁸ In interpreting these symbols of American nationalism and Christian religion, this NOI minister constructed a painful historical narrative that invoked the whipped and broken black body as the mirror image of a helpless Christ crucified.

Bodily oppression that was viewed not only as violent but also redemptive in nature appeared in the discussion and valorization of boxer Muhammad Ali, who became another symbol for the entire black race. In a 1968 edition of Muhammad Speaks, for example, a reprinted political cartoon depicted the Christ-like suffering of Ali, who had been stripped of his world heavyweight title in 1967 for refusing to serve in the U.S. military during the Vietnam War. Ali is seen hung on the cross, arms outstretched, hands covered by boxing gloves. Several pairs of white hands rip off Ali’s clothes, which are imprinted with the caption “World Champion.” One pair of white hands throws dice. Below the cartoon, the newspaper’s editorial captions states:
“Christian Cross, which is responsible for so much oppression of Black people, was depicted recently . . . as the instrument by which Muhammad Ali was ‘crucified’ at hands of white America.”19 But Ali, who was offered as a model for the rest of the Nation of Islam, was not simply a suffering servant. Picture after picture in Muhammad Speaks depicted him as physically strong, pious, and devoted to Elijah Muhammad. There are pictures of the “Muslim champ” training for matches; of the famous “blow heard around the world” when Ali defeated Sonny Liston; of Ali performing the salat, or Islamic prayer; of the grateful champ studying with his teacher Elijah Muhammad; and of “Minister Muhammad Ali teaching principles of Islam” at a Houston mosque.20

The emphasis on Ali’s strong and dignified physicality points to yet another major theme of NOI discourse on the black body—namely, the idea that blacks had been poisoned by foods, liquor, and tobacco given to them long ago by white American Christian slaveholders. A December 31, 1965, cartoon in Muhammad Speaks, for example, depicted a Christmas celebration in which Sambo-like blacks gamble, eat pork, and drink liquor in the name of Mary and Jesus.21 Another shows an overweight black couple whose dinner table is graced with whiskey and every variety of pork, including the pig’s head. The woman smokes cigarettes, while her child sits out of sight eating the scraps off her parents’ table. The caption above warns: “Eating the wrong food . . . . It forms your features, and your characteristics.”22 In many of these cartoons, women who wear make-up are also objects of scorn. In one cartoon, for example, a scantily dressed, high-heeled, and overweight woman wearing a fur mocks a Muslim sister by crying, “What happened to you? No Make-Up!!!”23 Below the scene, the caption reads, “The so-called Negro must clean up!” The cartoon thus associates the wearing of heels and make-up with impurity and a loss of dignity. Powerlessness, impurity, hopelessness, and eventually hell—all would be the result of eating the slavemaster’s food, according to Elijah Muhammad.24 By adopting the worst aspects of white culture, the messenger taught, blacks had contributed to their own oppression. They had substituted the culture of the white devil for their own divine heritage.25

Finally, NOI discourse also expressed incredible anxiety over the emasculation of the black male, which was often seen as a result of an inability to defend black women against white male sexual assault or as a result of interracial sex between black men and white women. Malcolm X, for example, reported in his Autobiography that he “would become so choked up” over this issue that he would “walk in the streets until late into the night.” Working as an assistant minister at
Detroit Temple No. 1 in the 1950s, Malcolm lamented the “rapist slavemaster who emasculated the black man.” Using memories of slavery in an archetypical way, he confronted his male audience members at the temple by beckoning them to “think of hearing wives, mothers, daughters, being raped! And you were too filled with fear of the rapist to do anything about it!” The result, Malcolm said, was a black race “polluted” with white blood. As for black men who chose to have sex with white women, both Malcolm and other NOI leaders were clear: such behavior would lead to the death of black manhood. In another political cartoon published in *Muhammad Speaks*, for instance, this lesson is taught through the example of an obsequious black man leaning toward a cross-wearing, cigarette-smoking, whiskey-drinking, white female skeleton. The word “integration” is tattooed across her chest; “Christianity tempts her slave” is written across her legs. The black man smiles as he says, “Sure—I love everybody.”

The loss of black manhood is thus linked not only to a love of white women but to bodily impurity, integration, and Christianity, as well.

**Islamic Ritualization and Black Working-Class Resistance**

Elijah Muhammad offered Islamic ritual as a means by which black men and women could save their bodies from emasculation, violation, and contamination. For example, in his 1957 work, *The Supreme Wisdom*, Muhammad argued that Islam “dignifies the black man. It gives him the desire to be clean, internally and externally. . . . It heals both the physical and spiritual by teaching what to eat, when to eat, and what to think, and how to act.” In this pamphlet, the messenger also reminded his followers that God, whom Muhammad understood to have come in the person of W. D. Fard, set specific guidelines for ritual purity. The eating of pork, for example, is identified as one of the worst possible offenses. Quoting Qur’an 2:168, Muhammad commanded his followers to “eat the lawful and good things out of what is in the earth, and do not follow in the footsteps of the devil.” Muhammad offered an exegesis of these verses that situated them within his own mythology, explaining that “the [blue-eyed] devils referred to are not other than the white people who eat the hog and other things forbidden by Allah.” This link between the messenger’s mythology and a ritualized diet was no passing fad: by 1972, Muhammad had published an entire book on the relationship between diet and NOI mythology entitled *How to Eat to Live*.

Essien-Udom’s ethnography showed that Elijah Muhammad’s words were not simply ritual theory; his followers turned them into ritual practice. His description of temple meetings in Chicago, for
example, reveals one concrete form of ritualization within the NOI. Ironically, Essien-Udom himself did not view these activities as “religious ceremony or ritual.” For him, the only observable rituals were “the prayers said at the opening and closing of meetings and perhaps a verse or two read by the minister from the Koran or from the Bible.”

If ritual is defined using Bell’s approach, however, there is no doubt about its ubiquity in this context. In fact, temple meetings incorporated every constitutive element of Bell’s ritualization: the deliberate manipulation of time and space; the “restricted codes of communication”; the “distinct and specialized personnel”; special objects, texts, and dress; particular physical or mental states; and the “involvement of a particular constituency.”

“Meetings,” wrote Essien-Udom, “begin promptly. Members must be punctual, and unless they have good excuses for being late, they may be suspended from the Temple for repeated offenses.” Visitors underwent a search conducted by members of the all-male Fruit of Islam (FOI)—often smartly dressed in bow ties and suits—and members of the Muslim Girl’s Training (MGT), who wore white gowns. “At first,” one visitor told Essien-Udom, “I felt that the search was ridiculous. But when I discovered that it was taken so seriously and done so thoroughly, I was frightened. For the first hour at the temple, I was exceedingly uneasy and afraid. The members looked at visitors with curiosity, if not utter suspicion.” The search was supposed to produce this particular mental state, Essien-Udom argued: an “important aspect of the initiation process, this invasion of the privacy of the individual person humiliates him and makes the visitor feel guilty about his previous way of life. Initiates are thereby readied for submission and obedience to the will of Allah and Muhammad, and to the many exacting demands of personal obedience and loyalty.”

In conducting the search, FOI and MGT members looked not only for objects like firearms and knives but also for cosmetics, cigarettes, matches, and nail files—anything associated with the exploitation of the black body. “In the Old World,” Minister Lucius told Essien-Udom, “the so-called Negroes had been used to carry knives, guns, cigarettes, liquor, etc., with them. In coming to the New World they must leave these things behind.” Once the search was completed, FOI members, who maintained a complex protocol of military-like salutes in executing their duties, then showed visitors to their seats. During the meetings, which were quiet and sober in tone, members avoided what Elijah Muhammad had deemed “slave” behaviors—especially the get-happy ecstaticism of the slave religious meeting. “Shouting and wailing,” reported Essien-Udom, “is considered char-
acteristic of Negro Christian preachers who want to arouse the emotions of the congregations in order to get money ‘which is tied up in churches and Cadillacs.’” Most members exercised what Essien-Udom saw as remarkable self-constraint throughout the long temple lectures, given by one of the NOI ministers. Occasionally, he reported, one could hear a subdued form of the call-and-response so famous in the black church, including comments like “preach it, brother Minister.”

The gendered nature of this particular ritual activity and others like it can be observed in the roles played by members of the FOI and MGT. Trained in military protocol, boxing, judo, and wrestling, the members of the FOI modeled proper male behavior for other members and blacks outside the Nation. This elite force, a unit of which existed in many NOI temples throughout the country, was “expected to follow all ‘Islamic laws’ more strictly than other followers,” including directives to keep themselves clean and to show “Love of our Leader and Teacher, the Honorable Elijah Muhammad.” The FOI was also charged with the protection of the temple and, according to one member in Chicago, with the “duty” of defending “the life of a Muslim Sister with his own life.” Just as the FOI ritualized proper male behavior within the movement, so did Muslim Girls’ Training and General Civilization Class seek to discipline, train, and cleanse the bodies of women. The MGT was “concerned with the training of good Muslim women” and watched “over the conduct and behavior of female members.” Women were taught “how to keep house, how to rear children, how to take care of husband, sew, cook, and in general, how to act at home and abroad.” Hygiene, personal cleanliness, reading, writing, and maintaining the proper body weight were also stressed.

But temple meetings and the activities of the FOI and MGT did not constitute the only forms of ritual within the movement. More profane activities like the selling of the *Muhammad Speaks* newspaper were also religious activities that can be viewed fruitfully as ritualization. By the late 1960s, *Muhammad Speaks* had become a significant source of news on the streets of black America. While the newspaper itself estimated its weekly circulation to be in the hundreds of thousands, former editor Leon Forrest claimed that a more accurate estimate was 70,000 weekly. Well-dressed, bow-tied black men peddled the paper (or bean pies) at major intersections or even door-to-door throughout many areas in urban black America. “Every able brother sold the paper,” reported Abdul Shabazz, a member of Temple No. 28 in St. Louis, Missouri. “If you couldn’t deal with *Muhammad Speaks* newspaper, you were kind of frowned upon. I think my quota was 150 papers a week. I couldn’t tell you how many papers we sold, but
when the shipment of papers came in . . . the brothers would go down . . . and unload bundles." NOI members also used the selling of the paper as an opportunity to espouse the teachings of Elijah Muhammad. "These hawkers of Muhammad Speaks," wrote Leon Forrest, "would indeed get all up in your face, with the good news, concerning their new faith; they were a blast, with the zeal of the old-time religion pitched to a tune loud enough to awaken the dead—or to chase the Negro out of any Blackman, as the saying goes."

Such economic activities reflected the totalizing effects of ritualization in which the disciplining of the black body was linked to Elijah Muhammad’s religious mission to save the black race. This ritualization was meant to encompass every part of a follower’s life, so that nearly every waking moment of his or her life would be spent in the performance of ritualized duties. The testimony of one NOI member, as reported by Essien-Udom, illustrates this idea:

Before I joined the Nation I went out Friday nights and all weekend night-clubbing and drinking. . . . I began having sex life at eleven and my first girl friend was about fifteen. . . . Now, instead of chasing women and nursing liquor bottles, I spend my spare time at the Temple of Islam. Mondays: 7 to 11 p.m. studying Arabic, English, writing, social science, arithmetic, and The Supreme Wisdom at the F.O.I. meetings. After that I go home to bed. On Tuesday nights, I am at the Unity Party. Wednesdays, I attend the regular Temple meeting. Thursdays, I am on M.G.T.’s Guard Duty. This means that I along with the other members of the F.O.I. [go] to guard the doorways to the Temple and to the University of Islam in order to protect the Sisters. . . . Friday nights are regular meeting nights. I work eight hours a day. On Saturdays, I work at my laboratory—a mechanical shop. Sunday afternoon is regular meeting. There is no time for visiting friends except on Saturdays and Sundays.

Such testimony was not unusual. As St. Louis member Abdul Shabazz explained, “We were like a vanguard. I was about fifteen, sixteen years old, and at that time about fifteen to twenty brothers would stay above the mosque. It was like a dormitory.” Even those who were not part of the “vanguard” in St. Louis participated in similar processes of ritualization. Believers met in each other’s homes to create their own sense of community away from what they saw as the temptations of mainstream black culture. “We couldn’t smoke. We didn’t drink,” said Abdul Shakir, a former captain of the Fruit of Islam. “We were pretty puritanical in our beliefs. We couldn’t go the movies, couldn’t go to nightclubs, so we had to socialize at somebody’s house. The sisters would cook pastries and dinner; the brothers would listen
to tapes and study their lessons. It brought strong cohesiveness among the Muslims.” Such ritualization could also seem intimidating. Lorene Ghani, a female member in St. Louis who joined the movement in the 1970s, said that, because she viewed Islam as such “a strict religion,” she wondered whether she was “good enough to become a member.”

It should be said, however, that not every member of the movement during the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s lived up to this ideal, participated in these ritual activities, or even accepted most of Muhammad’s teachings. For example, Washington University professor and essayist Gerald Early reports that he “almost joined the Black Muslims” when he was eighteen and living in San Francisco. Dubbing Muhammad’s followers in San Francisco a “wonderful community of saints,” Early writes that NOI members had helped him find a job, given him a suit jacket, and taken him to temple meetings. These same brothers, however, would also “take me to their apartment where, after the Muslim service, they would dump their paid-for copies of Muhammad Speaks in a closet, break out some marijuana and play jazz records all night.” To be sure, smoking pot was not part of Muhammad’s program for the ritualization of the black body. Importantly, however, Early also reports that these followers, in denouncing Malcolm X for leaving the NOI, still acknowledged the authority of the “Messenger who gave him [Malcolm] a message. . . . It was the Messenger who gave Malcolm morals when he didn’t have any.” These NOI members, in other words, may not have followed all of Muhammad’s commandments regarding their personal behavior, but they did recognize his prophetic status.

How many members of the NOI did or did not follow Muhammad’s directives is, however, a misleading question. No matter what the precise number was, a sufficient group of persons have left ample evidence that they created a religious community in which rituals focused on the black body were at the center of their communal life. To what degree individual members participated in this communal life is a similarly problematic issue. After all, different adherents of a religious faith can have different motivations and expectations at different times and in different places. What I am describing is not uniform behavior among NOI members but a religious process of ritualization in which persons participated to a greater or lesser degree.

Moreover, the success and significance of this ritualization should be understood not as some isolated response to black urban anxieties over the black body but as a religious process connected to—rather than determined by—these anxieties and even more directly to the contexts of social powerlessness and oppression in which
they emerged. Ironically, the clean living and market-oriented behaviors of many NOI members constituted an achievement of goals long espoused by middle-class African American “uplift” organizations, including elements of the black church like the National Baptist Conventions, the Women’s Club movement, and black fraternal orders. Wilson J. Moses has labeled many of these groups “civilizationist,” taking his cue in part from the words of African American missionary Alexander Crummell, who famously outlined his views at the 1895 Atlanta and Cotton States Exhibition. On that occasion, Crummell defined civilization as:

The clarity of the mind from the dominion of false heathen ideas, . . . the conscious impress of individualism and personal responsibility, . . . the recognition of the body, with its desires and appetites and passions as a sacred gift, and as under the law of divine obligation, . . . the honor and freedom of womanhood, allied with the duty of family development, . . . the sense of social progress in society, . . . the entrance of new impulses in the actions and policy of the tribe or nation, . . . an elevated use of material things and a higher range of common industrial activities, . . . [and] the earliest possible introduction of letter, and books, and reading, and intelligence to the man, his family, and his social circles.

Elijah Muhammad’s program of black uplift incorporated every element of Crummell’s civilizationism. Even more remarkable is how many of these aspirations were achieved by working-class members of NOI during 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s.

In fact, it might be tempting to view the NOI and its rituals as a capitulation to black middle-class norms. Was not all this clean living and industriousness just the guilty reaction of newly religious working-class folks who now regretted their old devotion to a more hedonistic, less market-oriented lifestyle? Historian Robin Kelley suggests that such dichotomous thinking ignores the historical continuity between the riddle of the zoot suit (that is, postwar black American working-class culture) and the culture of black nationalist Islam. Examining Malcolm X’s “participation in the underground subculture of the black working-class youth,” Kelley argues that such activity “was not a detour on the road to political consciousness but rather an essential element of his radicalization.” Malcolm and the zoot suiters, he explains, rejected typical symbols of patriotism, the folkways of rural blacks, and the “class-conscious, integrationist attitudes of middle-class blacks.” Instead, Kelley says, they developed a language and style all their own: “The zoot suiters and hipsters who sought alternatives to wage work and found pleasure in new music, clothes, and dance styles...
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of the period were race rebels of sorts, challenging middle-class ethics and expectations, carving out a distinct generation and ethnic identity.”

This kind of resistance centered on making the body look and act in ways consciously different from what the white mainstream and the black middle-class expected. The collective manipulation of the body, as Kelley suggests, often resulted in a powerful communitarian spirit: “The sights of hundreds moving in unison on a hardwood dance floor unmistakably reinforced a sense of collectivity as well as individuality, as dancers improvised on the standard lindy hop moves in friendly competition.”

Kelley challenges the notion that the only historical forms of resistance in black culture are those that engage in “mainstream” politics. “Political motivations,” he argues, “[do not always] exist separately from issues of economic well-being, safety, pleasure, cultural expression, sexuality, freedom of mobility, and other facets of daily life.” Rebellion, he claims, includes cultural acts of resistance that reject the values and expectations of the powerful. His question for further study is: How did various movements or organizations offer this kind of resistance, and why were black working-class folk drawn to them?

In the case of the Nation of Islam, working-class African Americans created a religious culture that, like the black working-class youth culture of the postwar era, identified the black body as a locus of social protest. But rather than negating traditional black Christian middle-class ideals, members appropriated them within a new Islamic matrix. That is, NOI members recast civilizationism in a way that rejected its associations with black middle-class Christian ideology while preserving some of its most fundamental norms. Put in terms of a simple semiotic exercise: The ritualized body was a sign. NOI members separated the signifier—here the ritualizing of the body—from what was normally signified—a capitation to the values, norms, and beliefs of the oppressor. In so doing, the old signifier now pointed toward a new signified: the islamized black body. Islam, then, provided an essential element of NOI ritualization because it was used to rename old strategies for black uplift and to differentiate them from other behaviors and other movements. Islam was not as superstructural to the movement as C. Eric Lincoln had claimed, as can be seen further by examining the changing nature of prophetic power within the movement.

The Prophetic Power of Elijah Muhammad and Its Limits

So far, the focus of this article has been on how ritualization within the NOI, a process that I have called the islamization of the
black body, dominated the lives of its members while also empowering them. But these rituals, to reiterate the useful formulation of Catherine Bell, also empowered and constrained those who were in control of the rite. Indeed, these practices effectively constructed and perpetuated the prophetic authority of Elijah Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah—a man elected by God to spread Islam, the natural religion of the black man, across the United States and the black world. Muhammad believed that Fard had chosen him to “mentally resurrect” the black man, which meant to disabuse black people of what Muhammad deemed to be pie-in-the-sky Christian ideas that freedom, justice, and equality would only be achieved in the hereafter. The white devil, Muhammad said, had taught that the “hereafter is a life of spirits (spooks) up somewhere in the sky, while it is only on the earth.” By following his teachings, Muhammad argued, salvation could be achieved in the present, if only black people would “come unto their own kind” and no longer seek integration with their oppressors. Muhammad’s vision for black salvation included several different components: first and foremost, the recommitment of black folk to the confession and practice of their original and natural religion, Islam; second, the creation of black economic self-sufficiency separate from the white-dominated market; and, finally, the establishment of a separate black “state or territory.”

Both Essien-Udom and Lincoln understood these teachings primarily as a type of black nationalism. In the most generic sense, these scholars used that term to place Muhammad in a long line of black leaders who have called for some sort of unified African American response to racial oppression. What is also clear, however, is that these students of the movement employed the label of black nationalism to refer to a number of different social, political, economic, and cultural patterns of behavior in the African American experience, including everything from simple pride in black history to the demand for political separation from whites. In my view, such liberal use of the term “black nationalism” threatens to render it meaningless as a category of analysis since, as Orlando Patterson pointed out in the 1970s, “almost every form of group behavior or expression of group sentiment” can then be “referred to as nationalism.” My own preference is to define black nationalism in a much more limited and precise way, as Wilson Jeremiah Moses does more recently in his anthology of classical black nationalists. There, Moses argues that the “essential feature of classical black nationalism is its goal of creating a black nation-state or empire with absolute control over a specific geographical territory, and sufficient economic and military power to defend it.” Using this definition, it becomes clear
that, while Elijah Muhammad incorporated nationalist elements into his teachings, he was not first and foremost a black nationalist. In fact, Muhammad devoted few of his spoken or written words to this topic. Of the 341 pages in Muhammad’s magnum opus, Message to the Blackman in America, fewer than one hundred covered explicitly political and economic themes—and there were very few specifics about the establishment of a black nation-state. The rest of the book explained Muhammad’s views on theology, mythology, eschatology, biblical and Qur’anic exegesis, and Islamic prayer and showed that Muhammad saw himself as a prophet, not a politician.

Moreover, there is ample evidence to suggest that Muhammad’s followers understood him primarily as a prophet, constructed his prophetic authority through ritualization, and viewed their religious, political, and economic activities as responses to his prophetic commandments. “People don’t understand,” boxing promoter Murad Muhammad later explained to journalist and author Stephen Barboza, “the Honorable Elijah Muhammad had an unbelievable power in America—more than any other black man, ever.” NOI members followed this man’s dietary rules, sold his newspaper, and honored him annually on February 26 during the celebration of Savior’s Day. Even more, they boarded buses and created caravans so that they could travel to mass religious rallies where he would be the featured speaker. These rallies could have a powerful religious effect on his followers, as Malcolm X described in his autobiography:

The audience would begin a rustling of turning. . . . Mr. Muhammad would be rapidly moving along up a center aisle from the rear—as once he had entered our humble little mosques—this man whom we regarded as Islam’s gentle, meek, brownskinned Lamb. Stalwart, striding, close-cropped handpicked Fruit of Islam guards were a circle surrounding him. He carried his Holy Bible, his Holy Qur’an. The small, dark pillbox atop his head was gold-embroidered with Islam’s flag, the sun, moon, and stars. The Muslims were crying out their adoration and their welcome. “Little Lamb!” “As-Salaikum-Salaam!” “Praise be to Allah!” Tears would be in more eyes than mine. He had rescued me when I was a convict. . . . I think that my life’s peaks of emotion, until recently, at least, were when, suddenly, the Fruit of Islam guards would stop stiffly at attention, and the platform’s several steps would be mounted alone by Mr. Muhammad.

Certainly, other kinds of charismatic leaders have been received in similar ways, but they are seldom understood to be the Lamb of God and the savior of black humankind. This passage also shows that Mal-
colm X linked the prophetic authority of Elijah Muhammad to redemption from his life as a convicted criminal. Malcolm was not alone. Large numbers of NOI members were recruited from prisons, where they heard “the teachings of Elijah Muhammad” from NOI missionaries like Isaiah Karriem. According to his comments and those of other NOI ministers in *Muhammad Speaks*, their “progress” was entirely the result of Muhammad’s teachings. In speeches, statements, even poems, NOI members testified again and again to the power of Muhammad and the success of his programs in rehabilitating even the most hardened criminal.

Such devotion to the messenger had a darker side, as well. As is well known, followers of Elijah Muhammad were convicted for the assassination of Malcolm X. In addition, Gerald Early echoes the charges of many by writing that, in Philadelphia at least, “mosques were shaking down black businesses, distributing drugs in the community, murdering apostates and drug rivals, and generally instituting a reign of terror.” Some of this violent and antisocial behavior can be attributed to internecine feuds among black Muslims themselves. In 1966, for example, Minister Clyde, the leader of the NOI in St. Louis, was shot while standing outside of Little Egypt, an area of the city where Muslims successfully operated a mosque, school, restaurant, and a grocery store. After the assailant went free on bond, and Minister Clyde’s home was mysteriously fire bombed, the assailant was killed, along with his wife, at their home. Such acts, however grim, can also be seen within a religious context. That certain members of the movement were willing to intimidate, harm, and even murder others in the name of their messenger should come as no surprise given their level of devotion.

But no matter how powerful Muhammad became to his followers, the very means by which he constructed his own prophetic authority simultaneously constrained him. Muhammad, after all, had defined his religious legitimacy in terms of Islam, the Qur’an, and his personal relationship to a bodily Allah. As long as the movement existed in isolation from the rest of the Muslim community and out of view of the mainstream press, little notice was given to the fact that Muhammad’s unique version of Islam contradicted many of the foundations of both Sunni and Shi‘i Islamic traditions. By the late 1950s, however, Muhammad’s movement came to the attention of the national press after New York’s WNTA-TV showed a five-part series produced by Mike Wallace entitled “The Hate That Hate Produced.” Articles about the NOI then appeared in national magazines like *Time* and *U.S. News and World Report*. These publications usually denounced the movement as a black supremacist or anti-American
group. Black civil rights leaders, including the NAACP’s Roy Wilkins, also criticized the NOI as a hate group. Wilkins identified the absence of strong civil rights legislation as one of the main factors behind the success of Muhammad’s group. The NOI, it was said, existed only because of racism and the lack of equal opportunity for blacks. The Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., also recapitulated these themes in his 1963 “Letter from Birmingham Jail.” The Nation of Islam, according to King, was an expression of “bitterness” and “hatred” that was “nourished by the contemporary frustration over the continued existence of racial discrimination.” King offered to stand between the forces of complacency in the black community and “the hatred and despair of the black nationalist.” If it were not for the nonviolent civil rights struggle, King said, southern streets might be “flowing with floods of blood,” and all of America might be heading for a “frightening racial nightmare.” King did not want or could not afford to recognize the NOI as legitimately religious because doing so would have left him vulnerable to charges of extremism, thus diminishing his ability to cast himself as a man trying to prevent a race war.

As negative portrayals in the mainstream press and criticism from black leaders increased, more and more Muslims in the United States joined in the public condemnation of Muhammad and the NOI. Seeking to make a distinction between the “real Islam” and what he depicted as Muhammad’s corrupted version, one Muslim wrote to the Pittsburgh Courier to ask readers not to “confuse the sect of Muhammad with that of true Islam. Islam does not preach hate,” he asserted, “it does not preach racism, it only calls for love, peace, and understanding.” Similarly, another letter to the Courier, which was a newspaper for which Muhammad had written numerous religious articles, asserted that Elijah Muhammad “twists the Koran around to fit his hate teachings.” One African American Muslim leader in Chicago also launched a full-scale information campaign against Muhammad. Talib Ahmad Dawud, who agreed with Muhammad’s anti-integrationist and anti-Christian views, criticized Muhammad’s beliefs in Fard’s divinity and his prophetic statements. The movement, he said, was an illegitimate Islamic sect. Specifically, Dawud charged, Muhammad had violated the fundamental tenets of Islam in his “denial of a future, bodily resurrection, and his follower’s failure to adhere to the proper Muslim prayer rituals.” He also claimed that Muhammad could not perform the pilgrimage to Mecca because the Saudi Arabian government and its pilgrimage committee had banned him from Mecca. Finally, he said that W. D. Fard, the founder of the “cult,” was white—an accusation that was meant to cast doubts on the NOI’s authenticity as a black movement. Other immigrant and for-
eign Muslims took similar action. For instance, the Islamic Center of Washington, D.C., actively disassociated itself with Muhammad, denying any claim of Islamic legitimacy for the group.65

At first, Muhammad responded by attempting to bolster his authenticity as a Muslim leader. For example, in late 1959, he accompanied his sons Herbert and Akbar on an `umra, or lesser pilgrimage, to Mecca—an act that was supposed to signal acceptance of Muhammad’s Islamic legitimacy by Saudi religious authorities.66 Soon after this trip, however, Muhammad seemed to answer his critics not by making use of universally accepted Islamic symbols but by relying more and more on his own authority as the Messenger of Allah. Though there seems to be little direct evidence to explain the shift, it is worth pointing out that Muhammad was reportedly disappointed by his trip to Mecca. While the March 1962 edition of *Muhammad Speaks* included only shining reports about the sojourn, his son Wallace D. Muhammad later stated that his father’s hopes to see “streets of gold” in the holy city had been unfulfilled.67 After his return, Muhammad seemed to care little whether or not Muslims outside of the movement accepted him, leaning instead on his own prophetic authority as the primary source of his religious legitimacy.

Such entrenchment into his prophetic status can be observed by examining the changes in Muhammad’s teachings regarding Islamic prayer. During the late 1950s, Muhammad published a prayer manual that detailed the elements common to the Islamic salat, the ritual prayer performed five times daily by most pious Muslims. The only major difference between the version practiced by most Muslims and that advocated by Muhammad was that he instructed his followers to offer the prayers in English, rather than in Arabic, which would be introduced to them “some day in the near future.”68 The manual included descriptions of the proper prayer times,69 ritual cleansing or ablutions,70 the call to prayer,71 and the components of prayer.72 Besides a very brief introduction, Muhammad added very few words of his own to the manual. In fact, the manual contained no reference to Muhammad’s unique mythology or to the themes of bodily purification so central to ritual practice within the movement.73

But by 1962, well after Muhammad had returned from his trip to Mecca, *Muhammad Speaks* featured edited versions of “The Prayer Service in Islam” that explained the meaning of the salat in terms of Muhammad’s ritual theory regarding the black body. In these articles, Muhammad offered original and novel interpretations of many aspects of the traditional ritual prayer. For example, he claimed that the practice of facing Mecca during prayer has a special meaning for “the lost and found people of Islam,” or the members of his orga-
nization. For them, facing Mecca symbolized the beginning of a journey toward the restoration of black greatness; but cleanliness of both mind and body, he taught, were necessary preconditions to the successful completion of the venture. “Before their return,” he wrote, “they must turn in the direction [toward Mecca] with clean hands and hearts, bow in submission to the Will of Allah alone with the righteousness that they may be welcomed to take their place again among their own people.” He also instructed his followers to pray for freedom from worldly desires, which he likened to a desire for food. Paraphrasing part of Exodus 16, Muhammad claimed that “the want of bread and meat . . . gave Moses and Aaron much trouble trying to lead the people into spiritual knowledge of Jehovah and self-independence.” In fact, he said, the ancient Israelites became so hungry that they had lamented their freedom from bondage in Egypt. Muhammad argued that this sentiment paralleled the attachment of black Americans to aspects of slave culture: it is the black American “want of the slave-masters’ bread, meat and luxuries [that] is depriving the so-called Negroes today of their independence,” he asserted. But one could rid oneself of this hunger, according to Muhammad, by praying to God for help. Paraphrasing Qur’an 1:6–7, Muhammad claimed that “the Muslims pray in their oft-repeated prayer to seek Allah’s help in guiding them on the right path, the path of those whom God has favored and not on the path of those who have caused His anger to descend upon them (the Jews and Christians).” Moreover, he said, believers could also ask God to relieve them of other undesirable behavior traits. Introducing an innovation to the salat, Muhammad told his followers to add these sentences to the fatiha, or the first sura of the Qur’an:

\[
\text{O Allah! I seek refuge from anxiety and grief, and I seek Thy refuge from lack of strength and laziness, and I seek Thy refuge from cowardice and niggardliness, and I seek Thy refuge from being overpowered by dept [sic] and the oppression of men; O Allah! suffice Thou me with what is lawful to keep me away from what is prohibited, and with Thy grace make me free from want of what is besides Thee.}
\]

Such words indicate the extent to which Muhammad had reconfigured some of the most basic aspects of Old World Islam to fit into his own ritual theory about the importance of disciplining the black body.

These innovations also suggested that, by the first half of the 1960s, Muhammad no longer expected to be accepted as a legitimate Muslim by believers outside of the movement. Instead, he legitimated
his authority exclusively in terms of his role as the Messenger of Allah, whom he continued to identify throughout the 1960s and 1970s as W. D. Fard. To be sure, this emphasis on his own prophetic authority showed that Muhammad had a sense of his own limitations. He knew only a few words in Arabic, lacked a formal education in Islamic studies, and had little direct connection to the traditions of Old World Islam. By ignoring the criticism of Muslims outside the movement, he could also avoid debates about his Islamic authenticity that he surely would have lost. Moreover, as the portrait of religious life within the NOI offered above shows, many of his followers seemed unbothered by the attacks against their leader by other Muslims. It was, after all, his version of Islam, and not that of immigrant and foreign Muslims, that many followers identified as their path to liberation. Muhammad had provided the religious program that helped them change their lives for the better.

But the attacks on his legitimacy had also constrained his power in that he could no longer simultaneously claim worldwide Islamic legitimacy and defend his own prophetic authority. To choose the former would have meant a complete abandonment of the mythological view supporting his prophecy. Choosing the latter posed a different kind of problem. No longer able to claim the imprimatur of foreign and immigrant Muslims, Muhammad made himself vulnerable to charges of illegitimacy not only outside his movement but within it as well. For those believers, like Malcolm X, who held dear their identification with the rest of the Muslim world, the isolation produced by Muhammad’s entrenchment helped lead to doubt and finally outright rebellion. Similarly, Wallace D. Muhammad, Muhammad’s son and eventual heir, had come under the influence of Sunni Muslims during the 1950s and had attempted to convince his father to become more “orthodox” in his practice during the final years of that decade. Throughout the 1960s and early 1970s, Wallace Muhammad publicly rebelled against his father, leaving the movement several times. But he also continued returning to the fold during those years. After Elijah Muhammad’s death in 1975, he emerged as the new leader of the movement and guided the NOI toward a more Sunni interpretation of Islam.

Conclusion

I have argued that an examination of ritual within the NOI forces us to reconsider C. Eric Lincoln’s claim that the NOI was neither terribly religious nor Islamic in nature. My approach suggests that one can appreciate the significantly religious character of the
movement while also taking seriously its political and social implications. In fact, my essay implies that it is impossible to see religious and political activity within the NOI as separate categories of human behavior. To the contrary, any comprehensive understanding of the NOI should pay attention to the intersection of religious, political, social, and economic behaviors among movement members. Furthermore, these activities should be analyzed from a number of vantage points, including that of a particular religious organization and those more generally of black working-class culture, American race relations, and modern Islam. When examined in this light, it also becomes clear that many of the NOI’s activities focused on the ritualization of the black body, which was seen in the movement as a symbol for the entire black race. Linking the liberation of black people to the purification, strengthening, and protection of the black body, Elijah Muhammad incorporated many black middle-class Protestant values into a new Islamic framework that empowered and constrained both him and his followers.

Viewing the NOI through the lens of its ritual practices also highlights the importance of Islam to the activities of the movement. To be sure, Elijah Muhammad’s syncretistic Islam was not a mainstream Sunni or Shi‘i tradition. Rather, traditional Islamic elements, like the Qur’an, the salat, and the pilgrimage, among others, were synthesized with black messianism, themes of middle-class black uplift, and Elijah Muhammad’s mythology to create a unique African American Islamic tradition. The fact that many of the religious activities of the Nation of Islam did not have Old World Islamic roots, however, does not mean that the movement was un-Islamic. In fact, Islam played a vital role in providing a new religious framework for the creation of an African American religious protest movement that adopted certain elements and simultaneously rejected the control of the dominant culture in which the movement existed. In this sense, examining what Islam does is as important to an understanding of ritual within the NOI as what Islam is. For members of the movement, Islam differentiated and privileged certain activities that led to fundamental life changes. To change one’s life through participating in these activities was what it meant to be a Muslim to these persons.

This case study also has some broader implications for the study of American religious history in general. For example, the interaction of Elijah Muhammad with immigrant and foreign Muslims alerts us to the importance of situating American religious history after World War II within more international and global contexts. Certainly, Diana Eck has already shown how religious life in the United States changed as a result of the 1965 immigration bill, which allowed
greater numbers of non-Europeans immigrants to enter the United States. But this essay also indicates that, even before the 1960s, religious “others” had an important impact upon at least some Americans’ religious lives. Specifically, it has been argued that Sunni Muslim criticism forced Elijah Muhammad to respond to questions of Islamic legitimacy by relying mainly on his own prophetic authority. This series of events hints that, in order to understand fully Muhammad’s actions, the motivations of his Sunni Muslim critics should also be explored. Such exploration, however, would require that this seemingly small American religious group be seen in the larger context of modern Islamic history and subaltern politics as well.

My work has also pointed to the important persistence in the United States of what many scholars have identified as the dominant and public middle-class Protestant traditions of American culture, including millennialism, revivalism, and an ethos of hard work, thrift, and clean living. At the same time, however, it raises the question of whether or not the practice of these behaviors by oppressed persons should be viewed as capitulations to the political and economic status quo—a view shared by many students of American religion and one famously stated by Sacvan Bercovitch in *The American Jeremiad*. Like Bercovitch, some students of African American religion have claimed that new religious groups like the Nation of Islam, though appearing at first to look like manifestations of dissent, have actually functioned in U.S. history as vehicles of social control, since their teachings have not attacked the root causes of oppression. Baer and Singer, for instance, conclude the following about African American messianic-nationalist sects, of which the Nation of Islam is a prime example: “Ultimately, in their acceptance of the Protestant work ethic and emphasis on a form of Black Puritanism, messianic-nationalists sects unwittingly serve as hegemonic agencies of the white-dominated status quo.”

This essay indicates, however, that the NOI might equally be viewed as a sign of what Amanda Porterfield recently described as “the remarkable decline [since the 1960s] in the authority Protestant people and institutions claim in the larger culture . . . [and] the success of many external challenges to their hegemony.” Porterfield agrees with scholars who assert that contemporary American religion continues to be marked by the “endurance of Protestant attitudes, ideas, and principles,” but she insists that Protestant authority has waned due to several factors, including the universalizing nature of evangelical religion in the United States, the transformation of American Catholicism, the religious effects of the anti-Vietnam War movement, the influence of Buddhism, greater “gender self-consciousness and body awareness,” and the “impact of the academic study of religion.”
essay suggests that the growth of Islam among black Americans and changing race relations in general should also be added to any list of historical factors that seek to explain the decline of Protestant authority during the latter half of the 1900s.85

Finally, this portrait of ritualization within the NOI indicates that, while certain Protestant ideals were adopted into a new Islamic framework, the end result was anything but a capitulation to power from the point of view of NOI members themselves. In fact, the NOI may have been problematic for many Americans, especially middle-class black Protestants, precisely because it challenged the implied social meaning of Protestant ideals. Of course, revolutionary reinterpretation of American Protestantism is nothing new in African American history; many have argued that the refashioning of white Christianity into a tradition of black liberation was central to the development of African American religion from its very beginnings.86 But the NOI can be seen as especially radical due to its success as a Cold War-era countercultural movement that rejected the notion of any American consensus. For many members of the movement, it simply did not matter that they were dismissed as the angry and misguided followers of a cult leader or understood ultimately as contributing to their own oppression—as far as they knew, in both mind and body, they had been made free.
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