

IUPUI Budgetary Affairs Committee
Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2011, University Library 1126

Attendees: Applegate, R.; Boukai, B.; Broeker C.; Gregory, R.; Koskie, S.; McGuire, L.; Payton, s.; Randall, S.; Towfighi, S.; Weaver, M.

Minutes:

The minutes of the previous meeting on January 18, 2011 were amended. The amendments will be forwarded by Ben Boukai.

- Ms. Camille Broeker provided a brief financial update. There were no new developments.

General discussions followed regarding the following topics

- Reference to Chancellor Bantz’s recommendations per “philanthropy” for buildings—how to attract outside funding for capital projects. There are no clear statements of guidelines for this effort. Ms. Broeker will follow up on a question of how philanthropic funds / gifts are displayed on the Fiscal Health report.
- Discussion of availability of R&R funding. No funding will be forthcoming from the state. How to fund R&R in the absence of state support.
- Revising the health service plans available to faculty and staff. Setting up clinics on the campus to be used by faculty and staff was mentioned as an alternative avenue.
- School of Medicine’s finances: Review the SOM’s fiscal health report. Why does SOM need so much money? Clarian merger may affect the SOM. What are the other impacts of the Clarian plan?
- Health engagement plans. Are there any data from private industry and government agencies. What is available. What effect do the health engagement plans have: “Healthy Engagement”, “Voucher” program for health. Rachel will ask about that. Salary based premiums/costs.
- Discussion of the recent IU system-wide pay increase. The “Salary stuff” did not work out too well. Merit-based. Process to allocate the increase. Any complaints? Discussions took place. No reports of serious problems.
- Initial reactions to the budget hearings (on the two Saturdays) Waiting for the minutes. Faculty did not have enough input (Ben). Not enough opportunity to react within the 10 minutes allocated. Rachel suggested if it would be feasible to have the school deans make presentations to BAC.
 - We are opening too many doors without paying attention to the future funding requirements. Spreading funds too thinly among too many programs. What is the opportunity cost of these proposals.
 - **The weakest part of the responses was their (the deans’) reaction about budget cuts. What needs to go.**
 - Roadmap to connect the strategic planning. Guarantee to operate within the budget. Putting dollar figures before program suggestions. How are you rearranging your money. The fiscal health report is too broad. (But the details can also be burdensome.)
- Next meeting to come up with concrete suggestions for next year’s hearings. We should be able to get more information about the new programs. The role of Academic Affairs.
- BAC should voice its opinions about the campus directions. **New program formation** and their campus-wide impact. We need to pay more attention to this. Articulation of priorities.
- Impact of **Academic Enterprise** on the faculty. Impact on the existing faculty. Faculty perspective must be heard.
- Reference to doubling out-of-state enrollment (Trudy’s outline). Where does the space for housing these students come from?

Space...

- Dawn will provide a list of building innovations and remodeling. Remodeling of the 4th floor of Nursing Building.
- Chancellor's discretionary dollars: Who and how is this reallocated.
- Responsibility centered management: does this contradict the efforts at collaboration? Campus Planning committee does look at the overall campus plan.